

Bret Hart vs. HHH

I'm a bit late to this one but it's a major story from earlier in the week. Basically Bret Hart said that HHH has never had a great match and isn't even in the top 1000 wrestlers of all time. Now there are a few possible explanations to this. First and foremost, Bret is incorrect. I greatly respect Bret Hart, but I don't know if I could come up with 1000 wrestlers if I tried all day. To say all of them are better than HHH is impossible to believe. Now of course Bret doesn't mean that literally, but the idea still makes sense.

The second possibility is that Bret has incredibly high standards. There is definitely something to this as I've heard of Bret watching his own matches and being disgusted by his lack of psychology because the three moves he used should have set up an armbar and he used a wristlock instead. That's stuff probably 95% of pro wrestlers aren't going to pick up on but to Bret it's terrible.

Now for the theory that makes the most sense: HHH knew about Montreal in advance and allegedly was the guy who said go ahead and do it. Since Shawn and Bret have made amends and Bret has talked about how great Shawn is, who else is he supposed to go after with his latest Montreal rage?

It's not like Bret is in need of publicity as he could probably be on Raw or Smackdown a few times a month with one phone call. My money would be on Montreal, but maybe Bret just isn't a fan of HHH. I may have watched a lot of wrestling, but I certainly don't know a fraction of what Bret

knows. It was a very odd statement though.